-----Original Message-----

From: Chavan, Girish

**Sent:** Thursday, January 26, 2006 4:27 PM

**To:** 'Tara McSherry' (E-mail); David Birtwell (E-mail); John Quigley (E-mail) **Cc:** David Fenstermacher (E-mail); Crowley, Rebecca; Mitchell, Kevin; Nemlekar,

Aditya P; Schmandt, Linda; Snow, Kate G

Subject: Status Update on caTIES

All,

We are almost there!

We have our test setups running here satisfactorily and we think we are ready to support you in setting up caTIES v2 at Penn.

A few questions that will help us understand your proposed setup.

- 1. I am assuming that you have different machines hosting the private and public datastores. And Kevin has VPN into the machine that will be hosting the public data store. Is that correct?
- 2. Do you have MySQL and tomcat setup on both the public and private machines? Do the MySQL DBs have the caTIES database schema loaded into them?
- 3. We have developed certain ANT scripts to aid in the installation. They are nowhere close to being a finished product, but something is better than nothing, and they do considerably reduce the amount of manual hand-jamming required to get the caTIES services working. To reduce any unnecessary complications during install, would it be possible to start fresh on your public machine, and by that I mean remove caTIES Phase I code. The other option is to try to rename the folder in which Phase I is installed. This will definitely break Phase I and additional work will be required to restore Phase I to working order.
- 4. Where are you with the loading of the private data store? This is not required to actually proceed with installing caTIES, but will be of course required to test the setup.
- 5. Who will be the primary technical contact who will be actually working on installing caTIES? Will it be John or David Birtwell? It would be good to have the contact no., as talking would be much faster than communicating via email. Please feel free to contact me at 412-623-4084 or Adi at 412-623-7898 with any questions.

After we understand your setup better, we can outline a customized set of steps for you to follow to get this running there, and we can take it from there.

We are in the final lap of the race. Can't wait to reach the finish line!

Kind Regards, Girish

----Original Message----

From: quigster@gmail.com [mailto:quigster@gmail.com] On Behalf Of John Quigley

**Sent:** Thursday, January 26, 2006 4:39 PM

To: Chavan, Girish

Girish,

I'm sure others will want to respond as well, but here's my contribution.

- 1. Yes, different machines. Kevin has access to the original one, but not the private one. Just for the record, we haven't set up VPNs for you all. Instead, we opened a hole in the firewall for Kevin's IP address to be able to Remote Desktop into the server. It's really just semantics, but I don't want anyone to be confused about how we're allowing access.
- 2. Yes. Both machines have the appropriate MySQL schemas loaded.
- 3. I suppose we could delete phase I code, but I would prefer not to do this. Tara, do you have any thoughts on this?
- 4. Private data store. We are currently working out some internal firewall issues that are holding us back. David Birtwell is ready to run his Java program as soon as we have access to Mike Feldman's SQL Server.
- 5. I suppose I'll be the primary contact as far as the sysadmin/network/firewall stuff goes. Dave Birtwell can respond to questions about the data loading. Tara can respond to project-level stuff. Does this sound right, Tara?

Best, John

----Original Message----

From: Tara McSherry [mailto:taralmc@mail.med.upenn.edu]

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 4:44 PM

Hi Girish,

This is great news!! We are looking forward to it!

John and David Birtwell will update you on the preparation status. However, I have a question regarding #3. If caTIES phase 1 is broken, will this affect the viewing of the Paraffin reports that were coded with caTIES Phase 1? I am currently QA-ing them via remote desktop in the GATE interface. I am over halfway done & hope to wrap it up by Monday (at the latest). If this will wipe out the coded paraffin reports PLEASE do not

delete the phase 1 folder until I complete the QA. If this will not effect those reports, great, no worries!

Thanks! Tara

----Original Message----From: Chavan, Girish

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 4:49 PM

To: 'Tara McSherry'

Tara,

The data need not be deleted. Only the ogsa folder in tomcat, that holds the caTIES client and services that access the data, needs to be renamed so it doesn't conflict with the Phase II services and client. From John's email I am concluding that David will be actually deploying the caTIES services and client on the public machines. We will get back to you shortly with the steps required to make this happen.

Thanks for the quick response -Girish

----Original Message-----From: Mitchell, Kevin

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 5:08 PM To: 'Tara McSherry'; Chavan, Girish

Probably the best idea is for us to continue to do our preliminary work here and ask Tara if she can prioritize getting to a good spot on the Paraffin study.

What will inevitably happen is that we'll "break" V10 temporarily while installing and testing V20. We should be able to run both old and new from the same tomcat but we want V20 to have the unversioned URL. V10 URLs will change from./ogsa/services/... to.../ogsa\_v\_1\_0/services. Since links are spattered throughout the configurations for V10 making this change will break V10 until we can go back and fix everything.

We really don't have the person power to be maintaining old revisions of caTIES so moving into the future it would be better if we could migrate the paraffin project of V20 rather than try to maintain it on V10.

-K

----Original Message----

From: Tara McSherry [mailto:taralmc@mail.med.upenn.edu]

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 5:18 PM

To: Mitchell, Kevin

Hi Kevin,

Yes, our plan is to run the paraffin reports through the new release of caTIES. The QA from the caTIES phase 1 version will be used as a comparison with the QA we will perform on the new version of caTIES. I will have the QA of the paraffin reports run through the phase 1 version wrapped up soon (by Monday)!

Thanks, Tara

----Original Message----

From: Tara McSherry [mailto:taralmc@mail.med.upenn.edu]

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 5:26 PM

To: Chavan, Girish

Hi Girish,

Since the data will remain and only the ogsa folder will be removed we are ok with #3.

Tara

----Original Message-----**From:** Crowley, Rebecca

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2006 5:44 PM

To: Tara McSherry; Mitchell, Kevin

Maybe paraffin archive should be a separate organization eventually - so that Penn has two organizations.

r

From: Tara McSherry [mailto:taralmc@mail.med.upenn.edu]

Sent: Friday, January 27, 2006 10:01 AM To: Crowley, Rebecca; Michael Feldman

Hi Rebecca,

Would this cause issues if there are patients that have both paraffin SPRs at Penn and frozen SPRs at Penn? The reason I ask is because, looking at the database schema from the design document, it seems each identified patient can only be related to one organization. What are your thoughts on this?

Thanks!

Tara

From: Crowley, Rebecca

Sent: Friday, January 27, 2006 10:11 AM To: 'Tara McSherry'; Michael Feldman

## Hmmm excellent point.....

As long as mrn is stable, we could consider hierarchical "sub-organizations" and relax that constraint in future versions. I think we had talked about this internally at some point.

What exactly are you planning on using the paraffin archive for? Is it likely that you would want to go across both datasources? If so, we would have to reconsider this approach in the next version.

On the other hand, I worry that the OCR and older documents may really dilute the validity of the data that we get and code from your LIS. At least in the short run, this might be the more significant concern!

## rebecca

----Original Message----

From: Tara McSherry [mailto:taralmc@mail.med.upenn.edu]

Sent: Monday, January 30, 2006 7:44 AM

To: Mitchell, Kevin

Hi All.

Just wanted to let you know that the Phase 1 caTIES QA using GATE for the Paraffin Project is complete.

Thanks,

Tara

NOTE: 2/8/2006 – The issues regarding versioning of the caTIES schema for the paraffin archive and ongoing caTIES development were discussed in a telecon on 2/6. The minutes of that meeting were submitted separately.